arXiv:1611.07268v2 [cond-mat.stat-mech] 5 Dec 2016

Emergent symmetry in a thermal pure state path integral

Shin-ichi Sasa
Department of Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan

Sho Sugiura
The Institute of Solid State Physics, The University of Tokyo, Kashiwa 277-0882, Japan

Yuki Yokokura
iTHES Research Group, RIKEN, Wako, Saitama 351-0198, Japan

(Dated: December 6, 2016)

We study a thermally isolated quantum many-body system with an external control represented by a time-dependent parameter. By formulating a path integral in terms of thermal pure states, we derive an effective action for trajectories in a thermodynamic state space, where the entropy appears with its conjugate variable. In particular, when operations are quasi-static, the symmetry for the uniform translation of the conjugate variable emerges in the path integral. This leads to the entropy as a Noether invariant.

PACS numbers: 05.30.-d, 05.70.-a, 11.30.-j

Introduction.— Entropy, which characterizes the randomness, is a fundamental concept in physics. It was born in thermodynamics [1, 2], had matured in statistical mechanics [3], and developed into several fields such as information science [4], complexity theory [5], and black hole physics [6]. The inter-relations among different types of entropy have been uncovered over the last decade [7, 8]. In these activities, with stimulation by the research of black hole entropy from a viewpoint of symmetry [9], the thermodynamic entropy of interacting classical particles has been characterized as a Noether invariant [10]. The underlying symmetry is that mechanical systems with a quasi-statically changing parameter are invariant with respect to a non-uniform time translation $t \to t+\eta\hbar\beta$, where η is an infinitely small parameter, β is the inverse temperature depending on time, and $\hbar$ is the Planck constant. The Planck constant has been introduced as the universal constant of the action dimension, although there is no such a universal constant in classical mechanics. This suggests a hidden connection between classical and quantum mechanics. In order to reveal it, in this Letter, we formulate the symmetry property on the basis of quantum mechanics.

A remarkable feature of the symmetry found in [10] is that it is formulated for trajectories in the phase space which are consistent with quasi-static processes in thermodynamics. This reminds us of the concept of effective action for trajectories in a thermodynamic state space. Indeed, the action for perfect fluids has been studied in phenomenological manners [11, 12], where the conjugate variable to the entropy density is introduced with the name of *thermacy* [13]. Then, the symmetry for the uniform translation of thermacy leads to the conservation of the entropy. It is also noted that the symmetry has been discussed in the context of effective field theory [14–16]. In contrast to these previous studies, our aim is to *derive* an effective action for trajectories of the thermodynamic entropy of quantum many-body systems, from unitary evolution of pure quantum states.

In our effective action the thermacy is uniquely determined as $\hbar\theta$. Here the dimensionless quantity θ satisfies the Euler-Lagrange equation $d\theta = dt/\hbar\beta$ for the action. This means that θ is a time coordinate scaled by $\hbar\beta$, which corresponds to *thermal time*. It was introduced as a parameter of the natural flow determined by a statistical state [17–20]. Therefore, the symmetry for $t \to t + \eta\hbar\beta$ leading to the entropy in classical systems [10] can be understood as that of the effective action for $\theta \to \theta + \eta$.

Theoretically, the derivation of such an effective action is quite non-trivial. The heart of our method is to employ micro-canonical pure states [21, 22], which were proposed along with the pioneering works on the foundation of statistical mechanics in terms of pure states [23–27]. In this Letter, we extend the micro-canonical pure states so as to form an over-complete basis set. Using this property, we obtain a path integral expression of unitary evolution of quantum systems. The micro-canonical pure states help us to introduce statistical-mechanical treatments of the path integral. We then find that the thermodynamic entropy *does* appear in the effective action.

Set up.— Although the theory developed in this Letter is applicable to a wide class of quantum many-body systems, we specifically consider a Hamiltonian $\hat{H}(h)$ consisting of N spins with spin-1/2 under a uniform magnetic field $h > 0$ so that the argument is explicit. The eigenvalues and eigenstates satisfy $\hat{H}(h)\,|n,h\rangle = E(n,h)\,|n,h\rangle$, where $n = 1, 2, \cdots, 2^N$. By incorporating the magnetic moment into h, we assume that the dimension of h is energy. Then, h represents the characteristic energy scale per unit spin. We study the macroscopic behavior of the system in considering the large N limit.

We choose an energy shell $I_E \equiv [E-\Delta/2, E+\Delta/2]$ with $\Delta = O(h\sqrt{N})$ [28]. The number of eigenvalues in the shell is given as $\sum_n \chi_{I_E}(E(n,h))$, where $\chi_{I_E}(x) = 1$ for $x \in I_E$, and zero otherwise. The density of states, $D(E,h)$, is defined as $D(E,h) \equiv \sum_n \chi_{I_E}(E(n,h))/\Delta$. We assume the asymptotic form

$$D(E,h) = e^{Ns(E/N,h)+o(N)} \tag{1}$$

with a function $s(u,h)$ whose functional form is independent of N. This assumption is one of necessary conditions that statistical mechanics is consistent with thermodynamics. In fact, it is satisfied for a wide class of systems with local interactions. The entropy $S(E,h)$ is then defined as $S(E,h) \equiv Ns(E/N,h)$. The inverse temperature $\beta(E,h)$ is defined by the thermodynamic relation $\beta \equiv (\partial S/\partial E)_h$. The Boltzmann constant is set to unity.

We consider a time dependent magnetic field $h(t)$ in $0 \le t \le t_f$. Specifically, we employ a discretized protocol $h(t) = h_j$ for $t_j \le t \le t_{j+1}$, where $t_j = j\Delta t$ and $t_f = M\Delta t$. We choose h_j such that $\Delta h_j \equiv h_j - h_{j-1}$ satisfies $1/\sqrt{N} \ll |\Delta h_j|/h_j \ll 1$. This means that the change of energy caused by the parameter change is much smaller than the energy itself but large enough to be macroscopic [29]. Even under this external field, the time evolution of a given initial state $|\Psi(0)\rangle$ is determined by

$$i\hbar\frac{d}{dt}|\Psi(t)\rangle = \hat{H}(h(t))|\Psi(t)\rangle\,. \tag{2}$$

Thermal pure state path integral.— We study cases where the system is in a thermal equilibrium state at $t=0$. Here, we express the state by a single pure state, following previous studies [21–27, 30, 31]. The unitary time evolution starting from such a thermal pure state is determined by (2), which is in accordance with isolated quantum systems [32, 33] and may provide an idealization of quantum dynamics in nature. In particular, we set $|\Psi(0)\rangle = |E_0,h_0\rangle$, where $|E,h\rangle$ are micro-canonical pure states defined by

$$|E,h\rangle \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{D(E,h)\Delta}}\sum_n e^{i\varphi_n(E)}\chi_{I_E}(E(n,h))|n,h\rangle\,. \tag{3}$$

Here the normalization condition $\langle E,h|E,h\rangle = 1$ is satisfied and $\varphi_n(E)$ is a random variable. (3) was introduced in [21, 22] based on arguments in [25–27]. In our theory, for each n, we first choose φ_n at the ground state energy according to the uniform distribution in $[0,2\pi]$, and generate Brownian motion $\varphi_n(E) \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, the phase, $\arg[e^{i\varphi_n(E)}] \in [0,2\pi]$, obeys the uniform random distribution for each E. Explicitly, we impose

$$\overline{(\varphi_n(E)-\varphi_n(E'))^2} = 2B|E-E'|, \tag{4}$$

where B^{-1} is the energy scale at which the correlation between phases of different energies is lost. Here the expectation of A with respect to the probability measure of $\varphi_n(E)$ is denoted by $\overline{A}$. By setting $\zeta \equiv \max_E D(E,h)/B$, we consider the limit $\zeta \to 0$ before other limits including the large N limit. Then, by the direct calculation [34], we can confirm that the decomposition of unity

$$\hat{1} = \int dE D(E,h)\,|E,h\rangle\,\langle E,h| \tag{5}$$

holds almost surely in the limit $\zeta \to 0$, which means that $\{|E,h\rangle\}$ is an over-complete basis set.

During the time interval $0 \le t \le \Delta t$, the state evolves following (2) and eventually becomes

$$e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\hat{H}_0\Delta t}|E_0,h_0\rangle = e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}E_0\Delta t}|E_0,h_0\rangle_{\Delta t}\,, \tag{6}$$

where $\hat{H}_j = \hat{H}(h_j)$, and $|E,h\rangle_{j\Delta t}$ represents (3) with the phase $\varphi_n(E)$ replaced by $\varphi_n(E) - (E(n,h)-E)j\Delta t/\hbar$. Next, at $t=\Delta t$, we change h_0 to h_1. We then use (5) for h_1 to re-express this state as

$$\int dE_1 D(E_1,h_1)e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}E_0\Delta t}|E_1,h_1\rangle_{\Delta t\;\Delta t}\langle E_1,h_1|E_0,h_0\rangle_{\Delta t}\,. \tag{7}$$

By repeating this procedure $M-1$ more times, the state at $t=t_f=M\Delta t$ is expressed as

$$|\Psi(t_f)\rangle = \left[\prod_{j=1}^{M}\int dE_j D(E_j,h_j)\right]\Big|E_M,h_M\rangle_{t_f} \prod_{j=1}^{M} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}E_{j-1}\Delta t}{}_{j\Delta t}\langle E_j,h_j|E_{j-1},h_{j-1}\rangle_{j\Delta t} \tag{8}$$

We refer this expression to as a *thermal pure state path integral*. It should be noted that (8) holds for any sequence of h_j. Obviously, for fast operations, $|\Psi(t_f)\rangle$ does not represent an equilibrium state. Even for these cases, the formula claims that such non-equilibrium states can be expressed by a superposition of micro-canonical pure states corresponding to equilibrium states.

Overlap.— We study the overlap $\langle E',h'|E,h\rangle$. Hereafter, we use abbreviations $\chi_n = \chi_{I_E}(E(n,h))$, $\chi'_n = \chi_{I_{E'}}(E_n(h'))$, $D = D(E,h)$, $D' = D(E',h')$, $\langle n',h'| = \langle n'|$, $|n,h\rangle = |n\rangle$, $\varphi_{n'n} = \varphi_{n'}(E') - \varphi_n(E)$ and $q_{n'n} = \chi'_{n'}\chi_n\langle n'|n\rangle$. By using these and (3), we express the overlap as $\langle E',h'|E,h\rangle = J/(\Delta\sqrt{D'D})$ with

$$J \equiv \sum_{n',n} e^{-i\varphi_{n'n}}q_{n'n}. \tag{9}$$

Then, from the randomness of $\varphi_n(E)$ [35], we can show

$$\log|J|^2 = \log\overline{|J|^2} + o(N). \tag{10}$$

See (24) for the precise statement. Here $\log\overline{|J|^2} = O(N)$ and the term $o(N)$ depends on the random phases. The proof of (10) will be given later. Using the randomness again, we obtain $\overline{|J|^2} = \sum_{n',n}|q_{n'n}|^2$ [36]. Thus, we have

$$\langle E',h'|E,h\rangle = \frac{\sqrt{\sum_{n'n}|q_{n'n}|^2}}{\Delta\sqrt{D'D}}e^{i\psi+o(N)}, \tag{11}$$

where $\psi(E',h';E,h)$ is a random phase of J: $J=|J|e^{i\psi}$.

In order to evaluate the right-hand side of (11), we express $\sum_{n'n}|q_{n'n}|^2$ using thermodynamic quantities. The key idea is to introduce a probability density $P(E',h'|E,h)$, where $P(E',h'|E,h)\Delta$ is the probability of finding the energy in $I_{E'}$ when we instantaneously change the field from h to h' under the condition that energy eigenstates satisfying $E(n,h)\in I_E$ are prepared with the equal probability [37]. Explicitly, it is written as

$$P(E',h'|E,h)\equiv\frac{\sum_{n',n}|\langle n',h'|n,h\rangle|^2\chi'_{n'}\chi_n}{\Delta\sum_n\chi_n}. \tag{12}$$

Then, if $P(E',h'|E,h)$ is determined, we can express

$$\sum_{n',n}|q_{n'n}|^2=P(E',h'|E,h)D\Delta^2. \tag{13}$$

Here, by noting $P(E',h'|E,h)D=P(E,h|E',h')D'$, we have $\log P(E',h'|E,h)-\log P(E,h|E',h')=\Delta S$, where $\Delta S\equiv S(E',h')-S(E,h)$. When $1/\sqrt{N}\ll|\Delta h|/h\ll 1$, the most probable transition $(E,h)\to(E',h')$ obeys thermodynamics. That is, the most probable value of ΔS is given by $Na(\Delta h)^2/2$, where $Na\beta^{-1}$ is the adiabatic susceptibility evaluated at $(E'+E)/2$ and $(h'+h)/2$ [38]. By assuming this and expanding $\log P(E',h'|E,h)$ up to the second order of ΔS, we can obtain [39]

$$P(E',h'|E,h)=e^{-\frac{1}{2Na(\Delta h)^2}(\Delta S-Na(\Delta h)^2/2)^2+o(N)}. \tag{14}$$

We here emphasize that the expression (14) is far from the standard perturbation calculation in $|\Delta h|$. By combining (13) and (14) with (11), we reach

$$\langle E',h'|E,h\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{D'}}e^{-\frac{1}{4Na(\Delta h)^2}(\Delta S-Na(\Delta h)^2/2)^2+i\psi+o(N)}. \tag{15}$$

Effective action.— Now, we construct the effective action. By substituting (15) into (8), and by introducing a variable θ through the formula

$$\begin{aligned}&e^{-\frac{(\Delta S-Na(\Delta h)^2/2)^2}{4Na(\Delta h)^2}}\\ &=\int d\theta e^{-Na(\Delta h)^2\theta^2-i\theta(\Delta S-Na(\Delta h)^2/2)+o(N)},\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

we obtain

$$|\Psi(t_f)\rangle=\int\mathcal{D}E\int\mathcal{D}\theta\left|E_M,h_M\right\rangle_{t_f}e^{\mathcal{J}+\frac{i}{\hbar}\mathcal{I}_{\rm eff}} \tag{17}$$

with

$$\mathcal{J}\equiv\sum_{j=1}^{M}\left[S(E_j,h_j)/2-Na_j(\Delta h_j)^2\theta_j^2+o(N)\right], \tag{18}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}_{\rm eff}\equiv&\sum_{j=1}^{M}[-E_{j-1}\Delta t-\hbar\theta_j(\Delta S_j-Na_j(\Delta h_j)^2/2)\\&+\hbar\psi_j+o(N)],\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

where $\psi_j=\psi(E_j,h_j;E_{j-1},h_{j-1})$, and $\int\mathcal{D}E\int\mathcal{D}\theta=\prod_{j=1}^{M}\int dE_j\int d\theta_j$. We here emphasize that the path-integral (17) has been derived from the thermal pure state path integral (8), on the basis of the general assumptions: the asymptotic form of $D(E,h)$ obeys (1), and the most probable value of ΔS is given by $Na(\Delta h)^2/2$ for a small but macroscopic step Δh.

Let us consider the continuous limit of the effective action (19) by taking $M\gg 1$ and $\Delta t\ll\hbar\bar{\beta}$, where $\bar{\beta}$ is a characteristic value of the inverse temperature. (Note that $\hbar\bar{\beta}$ provides an estimation of the minimum time scale.) For simplicity, we suppose that h_j increases monotonically, i.e., $\Delta h_j/h_j=O(1/M)$. Then, M satisfies $1\ll M\ll\sqrt{N}$ so that $1/\sqrt{N}\ll\Delta h_j/h_j\ll 1$, and the sum of the third term $\sum_j\hbar\theta_jNa_j(\Delta h_j)^2/2=O(N/M)$ can be neglected in the continuous limit. We then obtain an integral form of (19) as

$$\mathcal{I}_{\rm eff}=\int_0^{t_f}dt\left[-E(t)-\hbar\theta(t)\frac{dS(E(t),h(t))}{dt}+o(N))\right], \tag{20}$$

where $\hbar\psi_j$ is included in the $o(N)$ term [40]. For a given h, E has one-to-one correspondence with S through the thermodynamic relation $S=S(E,h)$. We thus choose $S(t)$ as an independent variable instead of $E(t)$. In this representation, $\mathcal{I}_{\rm eff}$ is expressed as

$$\mathcal{I}_{\rm eff}=\int_0^{t_f}dt\left[-E(S(t),h(t))-\hbar\theta(t)\frac{dS(t)}{dt}+o(N)\right], \tag{21}$$

which is the *effective action in the thermodynamic state space* of the thermally isolated quantum many-body system. Here one may interpret $(S,-\hbar\theta)$ as a canonical coordinate of the Hamiltonian $E(S)$, and $\hbar\theta$ is the variable conjugate to the entropy. Previously, such a variable was referred to as *thermacy* [13], and effective actions for perfect fluids were constructed without microscopic derivation [11, 12]. Indeed, our action (21) takes the same form as the previous ones for spatially homogeneous cases, except that in these studies the Planck constant does not appear and the term $(d\theta/dt)S$ is included instead of $\theta(dS/dt)$.

Quasi-static operations and emergent symmetry.— We consider slow protocols that are referred to as *quasi-static operations*. We first fix $(h_j)_{j=1}^M$ and Δt, which corresponds to a general continuous protocol $(h(t))_{t=0}^{t=t_f}$ in the limit $1\ll M\ll\sqrt{N}$ and $\Delta t\ll\hbar\bar{\beta}$. We attempt to construct the quasi-static operation $h^\epsilon(t)$ for this $h(t)$ such that $h^\epsilon(t)=h(\epsilon t)$ is satisfied for $0\le t\le t_f^\epsilon\equiv t_f/\epsilon$, where ϵ is a small dimensionless parameter that characterizes the slowness of the operation. Concretely, we define the discrete protocol as $h^\epsilon(t)=h_j^\epsilon$ for $t_j\le t\le t_{j+1}$, where $0\le j\le M^\epsilon\equiv M/\epsilon$, and $h_j^\epsilon\equiv(1-\epsilon j+\lfloor\epsilon j\rfloor)h_{\lfloor\epsilon j\rfloor}+(\epsilon j-\lfloor\epsilon j\rfloor)h_{\lfloor\epsilon j\rfloor+1}$. Here $\lfloor x\rfloor$ represents the largest integer less than or equal to $x\in\mathbb{R}$. We can then confirm $h^\epsilon(t)=h(\epsilon t)$ in the continuous limit

[41]. Note here that, in order to use the formula (17), the condition $1/\sqrt{N} \ll \Delta h_j^\epsilon / h_j^\epsilon \ll 1$ is needed, which leads to $1 \ll M^\epsilon \ll \sqrt{N}$. Because of this and $1 \ll M \ll \sqrt{N}$, ϵ should be small but finite so that $M/\sqrt{N} \ll \epsilon \ll 1$.

For such quasi-static operations, the sum of the second term of (18) is estimated as $O(S\epsilon\theta^2/M)$, and it becomes smaller and smaller as ϵ is decreased with N and M fixed. We thus reasonably conjecture that the term can be neglected in the path integral for the quasi-static operations. Then, for the transformation $\theta_j \to \theta_j + \eta$ with a small parameter η, $\mathcal{J}$ is invariant and $\mathcal{I}_{\rm eff}$ is transformed to $\mathcal{I}_{\rm eff} + \eta \int dS$. This property leads to the conservation law of the expectation value of the entropy operator $\hat{S}(h) \equiv \log D(\hat{H}(h), h)$. We provide a sketch of its proof. We first perform a transformation of the integral variable $\theta_j \to \theta_j + \eta$ in (17). By taking the derivative of this expression with respect to η and setting $\eta = 0$, we obtain an identity expressed in the path integral expression. By using $\hat{S}$, we rewrite the identity as [42]

$$\left\langle \Psi(t_f^\epsilon) \right| \hat{S}(h_{M^\epsilon}) \left| \Psi(t_f^\epsilon) \right\rangle = \left\langle \Psi(0) \right| \hat{S}(h_0) \left| \Psi(0) \right\rangle + o(N), \tag{22}$$

which is the Noether theorem in quantum theory.

Thermal time.— We here remark the concept of *thermal time* τ, which is a dimensionless quantity that parameterizes the flow generated by $-\log\hat{\rho}$ with a statistical state $\hat{\rho}$ [17–20]. More explicitly, τ satisfies $d\hat{A}/d\tau = [\hat{A}, -\log\hat{\rho}]/i$ for Heisenberg operators $\hat{A}$. When $\hat{\rho} = e^{-\beta\hat{H}}/Z$, $d\hat{A}/d\tau = \hbar\beta d\hat{A}/dt$ holds because $d\hat{A}/dt = [\hat{A}, \hat{H}]/i\hbar$. On the other hand, the Euler-Lagrange equation for (21) provides $dS/dt = 0$ and

$$\frac{d\theta}{dt} = \frac{1}{\hbar\beta}. \tag{23}$$

This equation means that θ corresponds to the thermal time. By writing (23) as $dt = \hbar\beta d\theta$, we find that the symmetry of $\mathcal{I}_{\rm eff}$ for $\theta \to \theta + \eta$ is equivalent to that for $t \to t + \eta\hbar\beta$ found in [10].

Proof of (10).— The most technical part of this Letter is to prove (10). We first define $X \equiv \log|J|^2$. We then show that $\overline{X} = \log\overline{|J|^2} + o(N)$ and $\overline{X^2} - \overline{X}^2 = o(N^2)$, which leads to

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \mathrm{Prob}(|\log|J|^2 - \log\overline{|J|^2}|/N \geq \epsilon) = 0 \tag{24}$$

for any $\epsilon > 0$ by Chebyshev's inequality. This is the precise statement of (10). Here, noting the formula [43]

$$\overline{X^m} = \left. \frac{\partial^m \overline{|J|^{2K}}}{\partial K^m} \right|_{K=0}, \tag{25}$$

we estimate $\overline{|J|^{2K}}$, as follows. For $K = 2$, we have [44]

$$\overline{|J|^4} = 2\left[\sum_{n_1' n_1} |q_{n_1' n_1}|^2\right]^2 + 2\sum_{n_1' n_1 n_2' n_2} q_{n_1' n_1} q^*_{n_2' n_1} q_{n_2' n_2} q^*_{n_1' n_2}. \tag{26}$$

In terms of an operator $\hat{\psi} \equiv \sum_{n'n} \chi'_{n'} \chi_n \left\langle n' | n \right\rangle \left| n' \right\rangle \left\langle n \right|$, we can express the second term of (26) by $2\sum_{n'} \chi'_{n'} \left\langle n' \right| \hat{\psi}^\dagger \hat{\psi} \left| n' \right\rangle$, which is less than $2\sum_{n'} \left\langle n' \right| \hat{\psi}^\dagger \hat{\psi} \left| n' \right\rangle$. This is equal to $2\mathrm{Tr}(\hat{\psi}^\dagger\hat{\psi}) = 2\sum_{n_1' n_1} |q_{n_1' n_1}|^2 = 2\overline{|J|^2}$. Because of (13), the ratio of the second term of the right-hand side of (26) to the first term is $O(e^{-cN})$ with a positive constant c. A similar argument can be developed for any K and we can show

$$\overline{|J|^{2K}} = K! \left(\overline{|J|^2}\right)^K \left(1 + O(e^{-cN})\right) \tag{27}$$

for large N [45]. By substituting this result into (25), we obtain $\overline{X} = \log\overline{|J|^2} + o(N)$ and $\overline{X^2} - \overline{X}^2 = o(N^2)$. We thus conclude (24).

Concluding remarks.— Before ending this Letter, we present a few remarks. First, in order to evaluate physical quantities, we have to perform the integration of E_j and θ_j in (17). Here, by noting that each term of $\mathcal{J}$ and $\mathcal{I}_{\rm eff}$ is $O(N)$, one may employ a saddle point method with the analytic continuation of $\mathcal{J} + i\mathcal{I}_{\rm eff}/\hbar$ of complex variables E_j and θ_j. In this Letter, we do not develop a general argument for this evaluation. However, one can estimate the integral (17) for specific models, and directly confirm the symmetry as the result of the path integration. Furthermore, it is an important future problem to study how the entropy is not conserved for fast protocols through the saddle point estimation of $\mathcal{J} + i\mathcal{I}_{\rm eff}/\hbar$.

Second, we remark on the quantum adiabatic theorem which claims that the amplitude in each energy level remains constant (and thus S is kept constant) if the operation speed is sufficiently slow [46, 47]. For macroscopic systems, such a speed becomes extraordinarily slow $O(e^{-bN})$ with positive b, because the distances of neighboring energy levels are $O(e^{-bN})$. In our theory, by contrast, the entropy is conserved under the operations that are fast enough to make energy levels cross. It is a natural question to connect the two formulations.

Finally, we hope that laboratory experiments for our results will be conducted. In particular, if one observes an entropic effect of the effective action, the measurement result is quite interesting. We will propose a design of experiments for this observation in the future.

The authors thank M. Hongo, M. Hotta, N. Shiraishi, and H. Tasaki for useful comments. The present study was supported by KAKENHI (Nos. 25103002, 26610115, 15J11250, and 16H07445) and the RIKEN iTHES project.

[1] H. B. Callen, *Thermodynamics and an Introduction to Thermostatistics* (John Wiley and Sons, 1985)

[2] E. H. Lieb and J. Yngvason, The physics and mathematics of the second law of thermodynamics, Physics Reports **310** 1-96 (1999).

[3] G. Gallavotti, *Statistical Mechanics: a short treatise* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 1999)

[4] T. M. Cover and J. A. Thomas, *Elements of information theory*, (John Wiley and Sons, 1991)

[5] M. Li and P. Vitanyi, *An introduction to Kolmogorov complexity and its applications*, (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993)

[6] S. W. Hawking, Particle Creation by Black Holes, Commun. Math. Phys. **43**, 199 (1975).

[7] J. M. R. Parrondo, J. M. Horowitz, and T. Sagawa, Thermodynamics of information, Nature Physics **11**, 131 (2015).

[8] S. Ryu and T. Takayanagi, Holographic derivation of entanglement entropy from AdS/CFT, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 181602 (2006).

[9] R. M. Wald, Black hole entropy is the Noether charge Phys. Rev. D. **48**, R3427 (1993).

[10] S.-I. Sasa and Y. Yokokura, Thermodynamic entropy as a Noether invariant, Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 140601 (2016).

[11] J. D. Brown, Action functionals for relativistic perfect fluids, Class. Quantum Grav. **10**, 1579 (1993).

[12] T. Kambe, Variational formulation of ideal fluid flows according to gauge principle, Fluid Dynamics Research **40**, 399 (2008).

[13] D. van Dantzig, On the phenomenological thermodynamics of moving matter, Physica **6**, 673 (1939).

[14] F. M. Haehl, R. Loganayagam, M. Rangamani, Adiabatic hydrodynamics: The eightfold way to dissipation, JHEP **1505**, 060 (2015).

[15] J. de Boer, M. P. Heller and N. Pinzani-Fokeeva, Effective actions for relativistic fluids from holography, JHEP **1508**, 086 (2015).

[16] M. Crossley, P. Glorioso and H. Liu, Effective field theory of dissipative fluids, arXiv:1511.03646 [hep-th].

[17] C. Rovelli, Statistical mechanics of gravity and the thermodynamic origin of time, Class. Quantum Grav. **10**, 1549 (1993).

[18] A. Connes and C. Rovelli, Von Neumann algebra automorphisms and time-thermodynamics relation in generally covariant quantum theories, Class. Quantum Grav. **11**, 2899 (1994).

[19] C. Rovelli and M. Smerlak, Thermal time and Tolman-Ehrenfest effect: 'temperature as the speed of time' Class. Quantum Grav. **28**, 075007 (2011).

[20] H. M. Haggard and C. Rovelli, Death and resurrection of the zeroth principle of thermodynamics, Phys. Rev. D **87**, 084001 (2013).

[21] A. Sugita, On the Basis of Quantum Statistical Mechanics. Nonlinear Phenom. Complex Syst. **10**, 192 (2007).

[22] P. Reimann, Typicality for Generalized Microcanonical Ensembles, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 160404 (2007).

[23] J. von Neumann, Beweis des Ergodensatzes und des H-Theorems in der neuen Mechanic, Z. Phys. **57**, 30, (1929).

[24] S. Lloyd, Ph.D thesis (1988); arXiv 1307.0378.

[25] H. Tasaki, From Quantum Dynamics to the Canonical Distribution: General Picture and a Rigorous Example, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 1373 (1998).

[26] S. Goldstein, J. L. Lebowitz, R. Tumulka, and N. Zanghi, Canonical typicality, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 050403 (2006).

[27] S. Popescu, A. J. Short, and A. Winter, Nature Phys. **2**, 754 (2006).

[28] More precisely, Δ is much smaller than hN but should be large so that I_E contains $e^{O(N)}$ energy levels. $\Delta = O(h\sqrt{N})$ is nothing but an example, and one may choose $\Delta = O(1)$.

[29] It should be noted here that the standard perturbation technique cannot be employed, because $1/\sqrt{N} \ll \Delta h_j/h_j$.

[30] S. Sugiura and A. Shimizu, Thermal Pure Quantum States at Finite Temperature, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 240401 (2012).

[31] S. Sugiura and A. Shimizu, Canonical Thermal Pure Quantum States, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 010401 (2013).

[32] T. Kinoshita, T. Wenger, and D. S. Weiss, A quantum Newton's cradle, Nature **440**, 900 (2006).

[33] S. Trotzky, Y.-A. Chen, A. Flesch, I. P. McCulloch, U. Schollwöck, J. Eisert, and I. Bloch, Probing the relaxation towards equilibrium in an isolated strongly correlated one-dimensional Bose gas, Nat. Phys. **8**, 325 (2012).

[34] See Supplement Material for the derivation of (5).

[35] When $|E' - E| \leq B^{-1}$, $\varphi_n(E')$ correlates with $\varphi_n(E)$. However, $E(n,h') - E(n,h)$ is expected to be larger than Δ because $\Delta h_j/h_j \gg 1/\sqrt{N}$, which leads to $q_{nn} \simeq 0$ when $|E' - E| \leq B^{-1}$. From this result, we neglect the contribution originating from the correlation for $|E' - E| \leq B^{-1}$ in the following evaluation.

[36] We have $|J|^2 = \sum_{n,n',m,m'} e^{-i\Phi} q_{n'n} q^*_{m'm}$, where $\Phi = \varphi_{n'}(E') - \varphi_n(E) - \varphi_{m'}(E') + \varphi_m(E)$. By the average of random phases, only the terms $e^{-i\Phi}$ with $n' = m'$ and $n = m$ become finite, when $|E' - E| \geq B^{-1}$. Although the additional contribution $|\sum_n q_{nn}|^2$ appears when $|E' - E| \leq B^{-1}$, this is almost zero. See [35].

[37] We do not assume that an equilibrium state is realized immediately after the parameter change $h \to h'$. We just employ $P(E', h'|E, h)$ for the estimation of the overlap $\langle E', h'|E, h\rangle$, not for describing physical processes.

[38] See Supplement Material for the argument of ΔS in thermodynamics.

[39] See Supplement Material for the detailed argument of the derivation of (14).

[40] We assume ψ_j is $o(N)$, because it is hard to imagine that the random phase grows in proportional to N.

[41] Note that $h^\epsilon_{j+1} - h^\epsilon_j = \epsilon(h_{\lfloor \epsilon j \rfloor + 1} - h_{\lfloor \epsilon j \rfloor})$, which implies that h^ϵ_j changes slower than h_j by the factor ϵ, that is, $h^\epsilon(t) = h(\epsilon t)$.

[42] See Supplement Material for the derivation of (22).

[43] Recall $d^m(a^x)/dx^m = a^x(\log a)^m$ and set $a = |J|^2$ and $x = K$.

[44] The contributions of $n_1 = n_2$ or $n'_1 = n'_2$ are ignored because $2^N \gg K$.

[45] See Supplement Material for the proof of (27).

[46] R. C. Tolman, *The principles of statistical mechanics.* (Oxford university press, London, 1938).

[47] T. Kato, On the adiabatic theorem of quantum mechanics, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **5**, 435 (1950).

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Derivation of (5)

In this section, we explain the decomposition of unity given by (5) in the main text. In the argument below, we employ the following estimation: For a random sequence $(X_l)_{l=1}^L$, where each X_l is a random variable with zero mean and finite variance, $\sum_{l=1}^L X_l$ is a random variable with zero mean and the variance of $O(L)$ when L is large. We thus make an estimation

$$\sum_{l=1}^{L} X_l = O(\sqrt{L}) \tag{S1}$$

for typical sequences $(X_l)_{l=1}^L$. For the small parameter $\zeta \equiv \max_E D(E,h)/B$, we consider the limit $\zeta \to 0$ with N fixed.

For any eigenstate $|n,h\rangle$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int dE\,|E,h\rangle\; D(E,h)\,\langle E,h|n,h\rangle \\
&= \sum_{n'} \frac{1}{\Delta} \int dE e^{i(\varphi_{n'}(E)-\varphi_n(E))} \chi_{I_E}(E(n',h))\chi_{I_E}(E(n,h))\,|n',h\rangle \\
&= |n,h\rangle + \sum_{n':n'\neq n} \frac{1}{\Delta} \int dE e^{i(\varphi_{n'}(E)-\varphi_n(E))} \chi_{I_E}(E(n',h))\chi_{I_E}(E(n,h))\,|n',h\rangle \\
&\equiv |n,h\rangle + |\psi_n\rangle
\end{aligned} \tag{S2}$$

Our first claim is that $\langle\psi_n|\psi_n\rangle \to 0$ in the limit $\zeta \to 0$. We start with the explicit expression:

$$\langle\psi_n|\psi_n\rangle = \sum_{n':n'\neq n} \frac{1}{\Delta^2} \left| \int dE e^{i(\varphi_{n'}(E)-\varphi_n(E))} \chi_{I_E}(E(n',h))\chi_{I_E}(E(n,h)) \right|^2. \tag{S3}$$

For n' and n with $n' \neq n$, we define

$$J_{n'n} = \{E|\chi_{I_E}(E(n',h))\chi_{I_E}(E(n,h)) = 1\}, \tag{S4}$$

$$\Delta_{n'n} = \int dE \chi_{I_E}(E(n',h))\chi_{I_E}(E(n,h)). \tag{S5}$$

The set $J_{n'n}$ is empty or an interval in $[E(n,h)-\Delta/2, E(n,h)+\Delta/2]$, and $\Delta_{n'n}$ is the length of $J_{n'n}$. Using the abbreviation $\varphi_{n'n}(E) = \varphi_{n'}(E) - \varphi_n(E)$, we then have

$$\frac{1}{\Delta} \int dE e^{i\varphi_{n'n}(E)} \chi_{I_E}(E(n',h))\chi_{I_E}(E(n,h)) = \frac{1}{\Delta} \int_{J_{n'n}} dE e^{i\varphi_{n'n}(E)}. \tag{S6}$$

Now, we decompose the interval $J_{n'n}$ into $[E_j, E_{j+1}]$, where $E_{j+1} - E_j = B^{-1}$ and $0 \le j \le j_{\max} = \lceil B\Delta_{n'n} \rceil$. Here $\lceil x \rceil$ is the smallest integer greater than or equal to $x \in \mathbb{R}$. In terms of them, the right-hand side of (S6) is expressed as

$$\frac{1}{B\Delta} \sum_{j=1}^{j_{\max}} \frac{1}{B^{-1}} \int_{E_{j-1}}^{E_j} dE e^{i\varphi_{n'n}(E)}. \tag{S7}$$

For $n' \neq n$ such that $\Delta_{n'n} > 0$, we define

$$\Psi_{n'n,j} = \frac{1}{B^{-1}} \int_{E_{j-1}}^{E_j} dE e^{i\varphi_{n'n}(E)}. \tag{S8}$$

Then, for each n', $(\Psi_{n'n,j})_{j=1}^{j_{\max}}$ is a random sequence, because B^{-1} is the energy scale at which the correlation between phases of different energies is lost. Following (S1), we therefore estimate

$$\frac{1}{B\Delta} \sum_{j=1}^{j_{\max}} \Psi_{n'n,j} = O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{B\Delta}}\right) \tag{S9}$$

for typical sequences $\Psi_{n,j}$, where we have used $\Delta_{n'n'} = O(\Delta)$. Thus, we rewrite (S3) as

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\psi_n|\psi_n\rangle &= \sum_{n':n'\neq n;\Delta_{n'n}>0}\left|\frac{1}{B\Delta}\sum_{j=1}^{j_{\max}}\Psi_{n'n,j}\right|^2 \\ &= \sum_{n':n'\neq n;\Delta_{n'n}>0} O\left(\frac{1}{B\Delta}\right) \\ &= O\left(\frac{D(E_n,h)\Delta}{B\Delta}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{S10}$$

where we have used the fact that the number of n' such that $\Delta_{n'n} > 0$ for a give n is $O(D(E_n,h)\Delta)$. Since $\zeta \geq D(E_n,h)/B$, we have

$$\langle\psi_n|\psi_n\rangle = O\left(\zeta\right), \tag{S11}$$

which means $\langle\psi_n|\psi_n\rangle \to 0$ in the limit $\zeta \to 0$.

Next, we set

$$\hat{Q} \equiv \hat{1} - \int dE D(E,h)\,|\,E,h\rangle\,\langle\,E,h|\,. \tag{S12}$$

Our claim is that $\|\hat{Q}\| \to 0$ in the limit $\zeta \to 0$, where $\|\hat{Q}\|$ is the operator norm of $\hat{Q}$. This is the precise expression of (5) in the main text. We write the explicit definition of $\|\hat{Q}\|$ as

$$\|\hat{Q}\| = \sup_{(c)}\left|\sum_{mn} c_m^* c_m\,\langle\,m,h|\,\hat{Q}\hat{Q}\,|\,n,h\rangle\right|^{1/2}, \tag{S13}$$

where c_n are assumed to satisfy $\sum_n |c_n|^2 = 1$. We then find

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{mn} c_m^* c_m\,\langle\,m,h|\,\hat{Q}\hat{Q}\,|\,n,h\rangle &= \sum_{mn} c_m^* c_n\,\langle\psi_m|\psi_n\rangle\,, \\ &\leq \sum_{mn}|c_m^* c_n||\,\langle\psi_m|\psi_m\rangle\,\langle\psi_n|\psi_n\rangle\,|^{1/2}, \\ &\leq O(\zeta)\sum_{mn}|c_m^* c_n|, \end{aligned} \tag{S14}$$

where, at the second and last lines, the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the estimation (S11) have been used, respectively. Here, for a fixed n, the number of m such that there exists n' satisfying $\Delta_{n'n} > 0$ and $\Delta_{n'm} > 0$ is $O(D\Delta)$. We thus have

$$\sum_{mn}|c_m^* c_n| = O(\max_E D(E,h)\Delta). \tag{S15}$$

From (S13), (S14) and (S15), we arrive at

$$\|\hat{Q}\|^2 = O\left(\zeta\max_E D(E,h)\Delta\right), \tag{S16}$$

which means $\|\hat{Q}\| \to 0$ in the limit $\zeta \to 0$ with N fixed.

In the argument above, we do not use the large N limit. Therefore, this decomposition of unity can be applied to two spins, a single harmonic oscillator, or other systems that are not interpreted as macroscopic systems, where Δ can be chosen arbitrarily.

Thermodynamics

In this section, we review a formula in thermodynamics. Let $\Delta S = S(E', h') - S(E, h)$ and expand it in $\Delta E = E' - E$ and $\Delta h = h' - h$. We then have

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta S &= \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial E}\right)_h \Delta E + \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial h}\right)_E \Delta h \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial E^2}\right)_h (\Delta E)^2 + 2\frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial E \partial h}(\Delta E)(\Delta h) + \left(\frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial h^2}\right)_E (\Delta h)^2\right] \end{aligned} \tag{S17}$$

up to $O((\Delta h)^2)$. The thermodynamic value of ΔE for the adiabatic process with small Δh is determined from $\Delta S = 0$. That is,

$$\Delta E = -\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial E}\right)_h^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial h}\right)_E \Delta h = \left(\frac{\partial E}{\partial h}\right)_S \Delta h = -M\Delta h, \tag{S18}$$

where we have used the fundamental relation in thermodynamics

$$dE = TdS - Mdh. \tag{S19}$$

By substituting (S18) into (S17), we obtain

$$\Delta S = \frac{1}{2} Na (\Delta h)^2, \tag{S20}$$

where

$$Na = M^2 \left(\frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial E^2}\right)_h - 2M\frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial E \partial h} + \left(\frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial h^2}\right)_E. \tag{S21}$$

Here, we express a in terms of experimentally measurable quantities. We start with the identity

$$\begin{aligned}\beta\left(\frac{\partial M}{\partial h}\right)_S &= \beta\left|\frac{\partial(M,S)}{\partial(h,E)}\right|\left|\frac{\partial(h,E)}{\partial(h,S)}\right| \\ &= \left(\frac{\partial M}{\partial h}\right)_E \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial E}\right)_h - \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial h}\right)_E \left(\frac{\partial M}{\partial E}\right)_h. \end{aligned} \tag{S22}$$

Here, we notice

$$\begin{aligned}\beta\left(\frac{\partial M}{\partial h}\right)_E &= \left(\frac{\partial \beta M}{\partial h}\right)_E - M\left(\frac{\partial \beta}{\partial h}\right)_E \\ &= \left(\frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial h^2}\right)_E - M\frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial h \partial E}, \end{aligned} \tag{S23}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\beta\left(\frac{\partial M}{\partial E}\right)_h &= \left(\frac{\partial \beta M}{\partial E}\right)_h - M\left(\frac{\partial \beta}{\partial E}\right)_h \\ &= \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial E \partial h} - M\left(\frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial E^2}\right)_h, \end{aligned} \tag{S24}$$

where we have used $dS = \beta dE + \beta M dh$. We substitute (S23) and (S24) into (S22), and compare the result with (S21). We then find

$$Na = \beta\left(\frac{\partial M}{\partial h}\right)_S, \tag{S25}$$

which means that $Na\beta^{-1}$ is the adiabatic susceptibility. By using (S19), we also obtain

$$Na = -\beta\left(\frac{\partial^2 E}{\partial h^2}\right)_S. \tag{S26}$$

We assume that Hamiltonians we study lead to the concavity of $E(S, h)$ in h, which is a standard assumption for statistical mechanical models. Then, we conclude that $a \geq 0$.

Derivation of (14)

We consider cases where

$$\frac{h}{\sqrt{N}} \ll |h' - h| \ll h. \tag{S27}$$

The typical energy change caused by this parameter change is much smaller than the energy but much larger than energy fluctuations in small subsystems. Although the standard perturbation technique breaks down due to the last property, we can conjecture a reasonable form of $P(E', h'|E, h)$ by employing the definition (12).

We first decompose $\log P(E', h'|E, h)$ into

$$\log P(E', h'|E, h) = \phi_{\rm S}(E', h'|E, h) + \phi_{\rm A}(E', h'|E, h), \tag{S28}$$

where $\phi_{\rm S}(E', h'|E, h) = \phi_{\rm S}(E, h|E', h')$ and $\phi_{\rm A}(E', h'|E, h) = -\phi_{\rm A}(E, h|E', h')$. From the symmetry property

$$P(E', h'|E, h)D(E, h) = P(E, h|E', h')D(E', h'), \tag{S29}$$

which can be confirmed directly by the definition (12), we can determine

$$\phi_{\rm A}(E', h'|E, h) = \frac{\Delta S}{2}, \tag{S30}$$

where $\Delta S \equiv S(E', h') - S(E, h)$.

Next we consider $\phi_{\rm S}(E', h'|E, h)$. From (S27) and the physical interpretation of (12), we find that the probability of large $|E' - E|$ is small. Now, for a given h, E has one-to-one correspondence with S through the thermodynamic relation $S = S(E, h)$. Therefore, seeing (S30), we expand $\phi_{\rm S}(E', h'|E, h)$ with respect to ΔS, instead of $\Delta E \equiv E' - E$. By ignoring contribution of $(\Delta S)^4$ and higher order terms, we write

$$\phi_{\rm S}(E', h'|E, h) = N f_0(\Delta h; E_M, h_M) + \frac{1}{N} f_2(\Delta h; E_M, h_M)(\Delta S)^2 + o(N), \tag{S31}$$

for large N. Here f_0 and f_2 are $O(N^0)$ functions of $\Delta h \equiv h' - h$, $E_M \equiv (E + E')/2$ and $h_M \equiv (h + h')/2$ which are even in Δh. The mid-point values E_M and h_M have been introduced so that $\phi_{\rm S}(E', h'|E, h) = \phi_{\rm S}(E, h|E', h')$ is respected.

Let us determine f_0 and f_2. We note that $P(E', h'|E, h)$ is the probability that in thermally isolated macroscopic systems an equilibrium state with E becomes one with E' by the macroscopic perturbation (S27). Therefore, from the argument of thermodynamics (see (S20)), we expect that the most probable value E'_* for given E, h and h' satisfies

$$\Delta S_* = \frac{1}{2} N a(E_{M*}, h_M)(\Delta h)^2, \tag{S32}$$

where $a(E_M, h_M)$ is a given positive function that is $O(N^0)$ (see (S25)). Then, E'_* is characterized by

$$\left.\frac{\partial \log P(E', h'|E, h)}{\partial E'}\right|_{E'=E'_*} = 0. \tag{S33}$$

Through (S30) and (S31), we obtain

$$\frac{\beta'_*}{2}\left[1 + \frac{4\Delta S_*}{N} f_{2*}\right] + \frac{N}{2}\left[\left.\frac{\partial f_0}{\partial E_M}\right|_* + \frac{(\Delta S_*)^2}{N^2}\left.\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial E_M}\right|_*\right] = 0, \tag{S34}$$

where $\beta' = \beta(E', h')$ and $|_*$ represents the evaluation at $E' = E'_*$. Here, suppose that $f_0 = O((\Delta h)^{\alpha_0})$ and $f_2 = O((\Delta h)^{\alpha_2})$ for small $\Delta h/h$. Then, the first, second, third, and fourth term of (S34) have the Δh dependence as $(\Delta h)^0$, $(\Delta h)^{2+\alpha_2}$, $(\Delta h)^{\alpha_0}$, and $(\Delta h)^{4+\alpha_2}$, respectively. By assuming $\alpha_0 \geq 0$ (otherwise (S31) would become singular when $\Delta h \to 0$), we obtain $\alpha_0 = 2$ and $\alpha_2 = -2$. This leads that each bracket in (S34) vanishes, respectively:

$$f_{2*} = -\frac{N}{4(\Delta S_*)} = -\frac{1}{2a_*(\Delta h)^2}, \tag{S35}$$

$$\left.\frac{\partial f_0}{\partial E_M}\right|_* = -\frac{(\Delta S_*)^2}{N^2}\left.\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial E_M}\right|_* = -\frac{1}{8}\left.\frac{\partial a}{\partial E_M}\right|_* (\Delta h)^2, \tag{S36}$$

where (S32) has been used. We thus set

$$f_2(\Delta h; E_M, h_M) = -\frac{1}{2a(E_M, h_M)(\Delta h)^2}, \tag{S37}$$

$$f_0(\Delta h; E_M, h_M) = -\frac{1}{8}a(E_M, h_M)(\Delta h)^2. \tag{S38}$$

To sum, we obtain

$$P(E', h'|E, h) = e^{-\frac{1}{2Na(\Delta h)^2}(\Delta S - Na(\Delta h)^2/2)^2 + o(N)}, \tag{S39}$$

which is (14) in the main text.

Proof of (22)

We first express $\hat{S}(h) \equiv \log D(\hat{H}(h), h)$ as

$$\hat{S}(h) = \sum_n \log D(E(n,h), h) \,|\, n, h\rangle \langle n, h| \,. \tag{S40}$$

We then calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{S}(h) \,|\, E, h\rangle &= \sum_{n,m} \log D(E(n,h), h) \,|\, n, h\rangle \langle n, h| \, \frac{1}{\sqrt{D(E,h)\Delta}} e^{i\varphi_m(E)} \chi_{I_E}(E(m,h)) \,|\, m, h\rangle \,, \\
&= \sum_n \log D(E(n,h), h) \frac{1}{\sqrt{D(E,h)\Delta}} e^{i\varphi_n(E)} \chi_{I_E}(E(n,h)) \,|\, n, h\rangle \,, \\
&= \log D(E,h) \sum_n \frac{1}{\sqrt{D(E,h)\Delta}} e^{i\varphi_n(E)} \chi_{I_E}(E(n,h)) \,|\, n, h\rangle + o(N), \\
&= S(E,h) \,|\, E, h\rangle + o(N).
\end{aligned} \tag{S41}$$

Next, we perform a transformation of the integral variable $\theta_j \to \theta_j + \eta$ in (17). Since the second term in (18) can be neglected in the path integral for the quasi-static operations h^ϵ, $\mathcal{J}$ is invariant and $\mathcal{I}_{\rm eff}$ is transformed to $\mathcal{I}_{\rm eff} + \eta \int dS$. Taking the derivative of this expression with respect to η and by setting $\eta = 0$, we obtain

$$\int \mathcal{D}E \int \mathcal{D}\theta \left| E_{M^\epsilon}, h_{M^\epsilon} \right\rangle_{t_f^\epsilon} (S_{M^\epsilon} - S_0) e^{\mathcal{J} + \frac{i}{\hbar}\mathcal{I}_{\rm eff}} = 0. \tag{S42}$$

This leads to

$$\left\langle \Psi(t_f^\epsilon) \right| \int \mathcal{D}E \int \mathcal{D}\theta \left| E_{M^\epsilon}, h_{M^\epsilon} \right\rangle_{t_f^\epsilon} S_{M^\epsilon} e^{\mathcal{J} + \frac{i}{\hbar}\mathcal{I}_{\rm eff}} = S_0, \tag{S43}$$

where we have used a fact that S_0 is independent of the integration. By using (S41), we rewrite (S43) as

$$\left\langle \Psi(t_f^\epsilon) \right| \hat{S}(h_{M^\epsilon}) \left| \Psi(t_f^\epsilon) \right\rangle = \langle \Psi(0)| \, \hat{S}(h_0) \,|\, \Psi(0)\rangle + o(N). \tag{S44}$$

Proof of (27)

For any positive integer k, we define

$$Q_k \equiv \sum_{(n')(n)} q_{n'_1 n_1} q_{n'_2 n_2} \cdots q_{n'_k n_k} q^*_{n'_1 n_k} q^*_{n'_2 n_1} \cdots q^*_{n'_k n_{k-1}}, \tag{S45}$$

where $(n') = (n'_1, \cdots, n'_k)$ and $(n) = (n_1, \cdots, n_k)$. Note that $Q_1 = \sum_{n'n} |q_{n'n}|^2$. Then, all Q_k for $k \geq 2$ satisfy

$$Q_k \leq Q_{k-1}. \tag{S46}$$

The proof is as follows. By substituting $q_{n'n} = \chi'_{n'}\chi_n \langle n'|n\rangle$ to Q_k, we have

$$Q_k = \sum_{(n')(n)} \chi'_{n'_1}\cdots\chi'_{n'_k}\chi_{n_1}\cdots\chi_{n_k}\langle n'_1|n_1\rangle\cdots\langle n'_k|n_k\rangle\langle n_k|n'_1\rangle\cdots\langle n_{k-1}|n'_k\rangle\,. \tag{S47}$$

Here, we define $\hat{P} \equiv \sum_n \chi_n |\,n\rangle\langle n\,|$ and $\hat{P}' \equiv \sum_{n'} \chi'_{n'} |\,n'\rangle\langle n'\,|$, which satisfy $\hat{P}^2 = \hat{P}$ and $\hat{P}'^2 = \hat{P}'$. We then express Q_k as

$$Q_k = \mathrm{Tr}[\hat{P}\hat{P}'\hat{P}\cdots\hat{P}'] = \mathrm{Tr}[(\hat{P}\hat{P}')^k]. \tag{S48}$$

The trick for the estimation of Q_k is to use the expression

$$(\hat{P}\hat{P}')^{k-1}\hat{P} = \hat{\psi}^\dagger\hat{\psi}, \tag{S49}$$

where $\hat{\psi}$ is defined by

$$\hat{\psi} \equiv \begin{cases} (\hat{P}'\hat{P})^{k/2} & \text{for} \quad \text{even} \quad k, \\ (\hat{P}\hat{P}')^{(k-1)/2}\hat{P} & \text{for} \quad \text{odd} \quad k. \end{cases} \tag{S50}$$

We then obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Q_k &= \sum_{n'} \chi'_{n'} \langle n'|\,(\hat{P}\hat{P}')^{k-1}\hat{P}\,|n'\rangle \\ &= \sum_{n'} \chi'_{n'} \langle n'|\,\hat{\psi}^\dagger\hat{\psi}\,|n'\rangle \\ &\le \sum_{n'} \langle n'|\,\hat{\psi}^\dagger\hat{\psi}\,|n'\rangle \\ &= \mathrm{Tr}[\hat{\psi}^\dagger\hat{\psi}] \\ &= \mathrm{Tr}[(\hat{P}\hat{P}')^{k-1}\hat{P}] \\ &= \mathrm{Tr}[(\hat{P}\hat{P}')^{k-1}] \\ &= Q_{k-1} \end{aligned} \tag{S51}$$

This is the result given in (S46). From (S46), we also have

$$\begin{aligned} Q_k &\le Q_1 \\ &= \sum_{n'n} |q_{n'n}|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{S52}$$

for any $k \ge 2$.

Now, we consider

$$\overline{|J|^{2K}} = \sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}, igma'\in\mathfrak{S}'} \sum_{(n'),(n)} q_{n'_1n_1}q_{n'_2n_2}\cdots q_{n'_Kn_K}q^*_{\sigma'(n'_1)\sigma(n_1)}q^*_{\sigma'(n'_2)\sigma(n_2)}\cdots q^*_{\sigma'(n'_K)\sigma(n_K)}, \tag{S53}$$

where the contribution with $n_i = n_j$ and $n'_i = n'_j$ for $i \ne j$ is ignored because $2^N \gg K$, and $\mathfrak{S}$ and $\mathfrak{S}'$ represent the symmetric group acting on $(n_1,\cdots,n_K)$ and $(n'_1,\cdots,n'_K)$, respectively. We express (S53) as

$$\overline{|J|^{2K}} = K!\sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}} R(\sigma) \tag{S54}$$

with

$$R(\sigma) = \sum_{(n'),(n)} q_{n'_1n_1}q_{n'_2n_2}\cdots q_{n'_Kn_K}q^*_{n'_1\sigma(n_1)}q^*_{n'_2\sigma(n_2)}\cdots q^*_{n'_K\sigma(n_K)}. \tag{S55}$$

It is obvious that

$$R(1) = \left(\sum_{n'n} |q_{n'n}|^2\right)^K, \tag{S56}$$

and we show that other contributions $R(\sigma)$ with $\sigma \neq 1$ are substantially small for large N. As an example, let us take σ such that $\sigma(n_1) = n_2$, $\sigma(n_2) = n_1$ and $\sigma(n_i) = n_i$ for $i \geq 3$. In this case, for $K \geq 2$, we obtain

$$R(\sigma) = Q_2 \left(\sum_{n'n} |q_{n'n}|^2 \right)^{K-2} \tag{S57}$$

$$\leq \left(\sum_{n'n} |q_{n'n}|^2 \right)^{K-1}, \tag{S58}$$

where we have used the inequality (S52). We then have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{R(\sigma)}{R(1)} &\leq \left(\sum_{n'n} |q_{n'n}|^2 \right)^{-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{\overline{|J|^2}} \\ &= \frac{1}{P(E', h'|E, h) D \Delta^2} \\ &\leq e^{-cN}, \end{aligned} \tag{S59}$$

where c is a positive constant. Generally, each $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}$ can be written as a product of disjoint cycles in an essentially unique manner, $\sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{\tilde{K}}$, where the order of σ_k is denoted by d_k. We then have

$$R(\sigma) = \prod_{k=1}^{\tilde{K}} Q_{d_k} \tag{S60}$$

$$\leq \left(\sum_{n'n} |q_{n'n}|^2 \right)^{\tilde{K}}. \tag{S61}$$

where we have used the inequality (S52). We thus obtain

$$\frac{R(\sigma)}{R(1)} \leq e^{-c(K-\tilde{K})N}. \tag{S62}$$

Noting that $\tilde{K} \leq K - 1$ for $\sigma \neq 1$, we have arrived at

$$\overline{|J|^{2K}} = K! \left(\sum_{n'n} |q_{n'n}|^2 \right)^K (1 + O(e^{-cN})). \tag{S63}$$